

---

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

In re application of: NGUYEN, et al.

Application No.: 10/672,307

Filed: September 26, 2003

Title: PERSONAL GAMING DEVICE AND  
METHOD OF PRESENTING A GAME

Attorney Docket No.: IGT1P114X1/P-305CIP

Examiner: Matthew D. Hoel

Group: 3714

Confirmation No.: 4455

---

CERTIFICATE OF EFS-WEB TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted electronically through EFS-WEB to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on November 13, 2008.

Signed:                     /Mary Terry/                      
Mary Terry

**TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

During a telephonic interview between the undersigned attorney and Examiner Hoel on 11-11-08, the various rejections of the claims were discussed with respect to the present invention and the cited prior art references. At the conclusion of the telephonic interview, it was agreed that none of the cited prior art of record, including Walker, et al. (U.S. 6,024,640), appears to teach or suggest the combination of features of claim 45 including, for example, initiating a first gaming session at a portable gaming device, and receiving, after initiation of the first gaming session, first wager information relating to a first wager to be placed by a first user in connection with game play conducted at the portable gaming device during the first gaming session. Additionally, it was agreed that none of the cited prior art of record, including Walker, et al. (U.S. 6,024,640), appears to teach or suggest the additional features of claim 49 including, for example, initiating, during the first gaming session, play of a first wager-based game at the gaming device; and receiving, after initiating play of the first wager-based game at the gaming device, second wager information relating to a second wager to be placed by the first user in

connection with game play conducted at the gaming device during the first gaming session. The examiner also indicated that he will update the search and consideration of novelty and non-obviousness with regard to the above limitations and will review '640 to confirm that there is no suggestion for the above limitations.

Respectfully submitted,  
WEAVER AUSTIN VILLENEUVE & SAMPSON LLP

/Dean E. Wolf/

Dean E. Wolf  
Reg. No. 37,260

P.O. Box 70250  
Oakland, CA 94612-0250  
(510) 663-1100